## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL RIDGEWAY,	) CASE NO. 1:05CV2183
Petitioner,	)
v.	) JUDGE PETER C. ECONOMUS
JAMES ERWIN, Warden,	)
Respondent.	) MEMORANDUM OPINION ) AND ORDER

On September 15, 2005, Petitioner Michael Ridgeway filed a *pro se* writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging the constitutionality of his criminal conviction. (Dkt. #1). The case was referred to Magistrate Judge David S. Perelman pursuant to Local Rule 72.2. (Dkt. #6). On March 16, 2006, the Magistrate Judge recommended that Petitioner's application for habeas corpus be dismissed without further proceedings. (Dkt. #14).

FED. R. CIV.P. 72(b) provides that objections to a report and recommendation must be filed within ten (10) days after service, but Petitioner has failed to timely file any such objections. Therefore, the Court must assume that Petitioner is satisfied with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. Any further review by this Court would be duplicative and an inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. Thomas v. Arn, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir.

Case: 1:05-cv-02183-PCE Doc #: 15 Filed: 04/05/06 2 of 2. PageID #: 267

1984), aff'd, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir.1981).

Therefore, Magistrate Judge David S. Perelman's report and recommendation is hereby **ADOPTED** and Petitioner's writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED**. Furthermore, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. §2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Peter C. Economus - April 5, 2006
PETER C. ECONOMUS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE